1. There is no UPS equipment listed in the RFP. Does the district desire for the vendors to include UPS equipment in the answer to RFP? If so please specify the VA for each MDF/IDF the district would like to have included.

   UPS equipment should be included. Vendor should determine appropriate size and number of units based on equipment load and industry standards.

2. The RFP specifies the number of outlet locations and the number of CAT-6 jacks per outlet in the class rooms; however, the other rooms (media center, offices, gym and lunch room) there are no specifications. The RFP specifies that the computer labs are to have the same number of CAT-6 drops in the same location; however, it does not defined what the number of outlets or CAT-6 drops is in the labs at the present time please defined for each school and lab. The information provide for CAT-6 outlet locations and quantity vague please either markup the drawings with the outlet location and the quantity of CAT-6 cables per outlet or provide a spread sheet with the room number outlet location and the number of CAT-6 cables per outlet for each school.

   1.1.E in the scope of the project dictates the standard layout of a classroom. Specific locations will be determined after contract is awarded.

3. If the district is going to provide a spread sheet with the outlet locations with the quantity of CAT- 6 cables per outlet please also provide on which wall in the room the outlet will be located in order for the vendors to be able to calculate the cable footage required.

   Specific locations in the all classrooms will be determined after contract is awarded.

4. Please defined the districts definition of manufactured patch cables? Will the district except a patch cables manufactured from vendors other than the cable hardware manufacture (Ortronics, Hubbell)? Will the district except patch cables from a generic patch cable manufacture that have been tested?

   If cable has been tested and is covered under warranty and services as specified in part 4.1 of the RFP then other cables are acceptable.

5. The speciation portion of the RFP provides information about racks and cabinets; however, it does not specify by MDF/IDF what the district desires to have installed. Please provide a list by school and TC the type of rack or cabinet the district would like vendor to install.

   The district would like for all proposals to include locking cabinets

6. The RFP does not specify if the fiber has to be installed in innerduct from the MDF to the IDF's, is innerduct required?

   Fiber should be installed in innerduct between MDF and IDF's

7. If innerduct is required can a vendor elect to install an armoured fiber optic cable and not install in in an innerduct?

   Yes, a vendor may elect to install armored fiber cable over innerduct if they desire.

8. Please provide a marked-up drawing showing were the access points are to be installed in each building.

   One access point should be installed in every classroom. Location of additional access points will be determined after ERATE award is made.

9. The district has specified the network electronics by part number and description in the RFP it has also included a list containing 151 specifications that the vendor must provide an answer for, is the vendor required to answer these questions if they are providing the products that the district has already researched and specified in the RFP?

   Responses to questions are only required in cases where vendor proposes an alternative to the district preferred parts to ensure that vendor proposed part is equitable.

10. Please defined or elaborate in detail what the district is requiring regarding engineering and configuration settings for the LAN/WAN? Please provide in detail the configuration requirements of the Layer3, VLAN's settings and does the district want specific switch ports set to specific VLAN's?

    The district prefers that the network remain as flat as possible with policies applied to traffic based on device MAC addresses. Vendor will be required to set up basic policies for common types of traffic.

11. Please define the extent of Enterasys Professional Services involvement vs the vendor engineering the district is requesting.

    Vendor should be manufacture certified in any product that they are installing

12. Please provide a scope of work (desired outcome) the district wants to see from the single pain of glass? Please provide the list of applications and the type of reports the district would like to obtain from the PUREVIEW upon the configuration being completed?

   The district desires a single interface to view the network and all devices. Additionally the district wishes to be able to perform network configurations from a single interface. The district would like to be able to manage user bandwidth and applications, view application performance and network performance.

13. Please provide in detail the configuration results Peach County Schools technology department expects from the Enterasys NAC with regards to visibility and control over individual users, BYOB on-boarding and applications control?

   Users with BYOD will use AD credentials to authenticate to the wireless. Once authenticated it should be possible to assign policies based on the user or device. Guests without AD accounts will be handled via sponsorship as addressed in item 15.

14. Please provide in detail for each of the following how Peach County Schools Technology Department Technology Department wants the following configured and what results it requires: policies permit, deny, prioritize, rate-limit, tag, re-direct, and audit network traffic based on user identity, time and location, device type, and other environmental variables.

   Configuration specifications will be determined after ERATE award is made.

15. Please defined what method of on-boarding the district wants to utilize with regards to sponsorship of guests and validate guest registration?

   Guest should be able to select or specify their sponsor in a web form. The sponsor should then receive an email allowing them to approve or deny the requestor. Sponsors will be determined after installation and setup.

16. Please defined how granular the district requires the configuration to be for the following, policy- based control over users and end-systems.

   The district wishes that the vendors proposed solution be as granular as possible and provide the richest feature set available at time of proposal. Features should include things such as application and bandwidth management.

17. Please list the reports and dashboards views of the complete security posture the district requires from the configuration.

The district will determine reports schedule and specifics at the time of product configuration.

18. Does the district have a properly configured up-to-date Microsoft AD?

District has a properly configured and up to date Microsoft AD environment.

19. Does the district have an up-to-date Microsoft RADIUS server?

The District will have an up to date Microsoft RADIUS server by project start.

20. What time line has the district established for the start and finish date for the project?

District will begin working on project after award has been made and project will be completed by December 31, 2017.

21. Please provide the districts implementation schedule for all of the projects?

The district intends to perform site upgrades with the Byron facilities initially and proceed to Fort Valley sites next. The service provider project management team will work with PCSD staff to prioritize facilities and system upgrades.